Mysore. 21-27—500,000—21-5-48.

Revenue **(1948) Department**

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1821

Dated 2 8 194x

[Despatch Abstract.]

GROW MORE FOOD - New Well Subsidy Scheme: 1948-49 - Growing of Sugar cane as a food crop - Instructions - Issued.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Abstract.

GROW MORE FOOD - Accelerated Scheme- (Madura) - Growing of sugarcane as a food crop - Proceedings of Food Advisory Committee - Report - Submitted.

Res.Rt.No.3453.

Station: Chepauk, Madras. Dated 26th May, 1948.

From

Sri Rao Sahib - T.K.Sankaravadivelu Pillai, B.A.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

V.N. . Kudva, Esq., C.I.E.,I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue, Excise & Prohibition.

Read tbe following:-

1. B.P.Rt.No.2695, dated 13-5-1948.
2. Government Memorandum No.19209-E/48-1, Revenue, dated 19-4-48.
3. From the Collector of Madura, No.L.Dis.7668/141-481, dated 3-54.

RESOLUTION:

Submitted to the Government.

2. The Board invites the attention of theGovernment to their Memorandum No.3899 - F/42-2, Revenue, dated 9-11-1942. With reference to the Instructions contrained in the Government Memorandum the Board had asked the Collectors to treat sugarcane also as a food crop for purposes of concessions under the Well Subsidy Schemes in its Res.Rt. No.2695, dated 13-5-1947 (a copy of which had already been submitted to the Government). It appears that the Collectors have treated sugarcane as one of the food crops for Well Subsidy Schemes. However the increase in the cultivation of sugarcane in Madura district was due to the growing of the crop on lands other than those irrigated by subsidised wells. The Board considers that the need for food at present is much greater than the need for sugar or jaggery and that it is desirable to modify the previous orders in the matter. It is accordingly instructing the Collectors of all districts except Madras not to include sugarcane as a food crop under the Scheme for 1948-49.

3. In modification of the instructions issued in its Res.Rt.No.2695, dated 13-5-1947, the Collectors of all districts except Madras are informed that sugarcane should not be treated as a food crop for purposes of concessions under the Well Subsidy Schemes.

Sd/- for Joint Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras (Whole)
(With the spare copy of the Collector's letter).
To the Collectors of all districts except Madras. (Para 3 only)
Copy to stock File.

Revenue Department.

Memorandum No. 19209 E/48_3, dated 3-7-1948.

GROW MORE FOOD - Well subsidy scheme. Growing of Sugarcane as a foodcrop- Board's instructions to Collectors

From the Board of Revenue Resolutions. Ref.No. 3453 dated 26-5-1948.

With referenceto the instructions issued by the Board in para 3 ots resolution s quated, it is pointed out that persons who have already drawn subsidies under the previous well subsidy schemes. cannot be prevented from raising sugar cane on the lands under the well if they want to do so. At the time when subsidies were drawn by them sugar cane was treated as a food crop and they might contend that they would not have taken a subsidy, if they had known that cultivation of sugarcane was going for prohibited . It is therefore presumed that the intention of the instructions of the Board in its resolutions quoted is that sugar-cane should not be treated as a food crop only for lands under wells subsidy in future. The Board is requested to state whether the presumption is correct.

Sd/-xxxxxxx,
29-6-1948.

To the Board of Revenue.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Abstract.

ROW MORE FOOD - New Well Subsidy Scheme - 1948-49 - Growing ugar-cane as a food crop - Further instructions.

- -

L.Dis.No.V.Spl.3314/49.
tation : Chepauk, Madras. Dated 19th July 1948.

rom

C.S.Ramakrishnan, Esq., I.C.S.,
Joint Secretary, Board of Revenue.

V.N.Kudva, Esq., O.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue, Excise & Prohibi

Read the followin

1. B.P.Rt.No.3453, dated 26-5-1948.
2. Government Memorandum No.19209-H/48, Revenue, dated 3-7-1948

L.DIS:

The attention of the Government is invited to the last sentence in para 2 of the B.P cited above. It is the intention of the Board that sugar-cane should not be treated as a food crop for wells under the Scheme of 1948-49 and in future. However instructions are being issued to Collectors to make this point clear.

2. The attention of the Collectors of all districts except Madras is invited to para 3 of theB.P cited above and they are informed that sugar-cane should not be treated as a food crop for lands under wells subsidised unde

under the Scheme for 1948-49 and in future. The prohibition does not apply to schemes previously in force in respect of which sugar-cane was treated as a food crop.

Sd/- for Joint Secretary.

To the Secretary toGovernment, Revenue Department, Madras.
(Whole)
To the Collector of all districts except Madras. (Para 2 only)
Copy to S.F.

To.A.S.

This file deals with the question whether sugar-can should be treated as a food crop for purposes of ~~concession~~ concession under the Well Subsidy Schemes. The Memo. to the Board of Revenue on page 4-5 c.f. and the Board's ~~repo~~ report on page 6 may be perused. The Board has now pointed out that its instruction in B.P Ref.Rt. No.3453, dated ~~26-3-1~~ 26-3-1948, not to include sugar-cane as a food crop under the New Wells Subsidy Scheme applies only to lands under wells subsidised under the scheme for 48-49 and in future It has now instructed the Collectors that the prohibition does not apply to scheme previously in force inrespect of which sugar cane was treated as a food crop. No further action appears called for in this file. The papers may

G.O.Ms.No.1821, dated 2-8-1948.

Abstract.

R e c o r d e d .

GROW MORE FOOD - New Well subsidy schemes - 1948-49 - Growing of sugar cane as a food crop - Instructions - Issued.

Sd/-xxxxxxx,
30-7-1948.

/True copy/

Office Assistant,
Madras Record Office.

NG.